

# Caspian Journal of Health Research

"Caspian J Health Res"

Journal Homepage: https://cjhr.gums.ac.ir

# **Research Paper**





# Social Support Theory for Predicting Stress, Anxiety, and Job Burnout of Healthcare Workers in the Postpandemic COVID-19

Masoumeh Mohammadi Khanqah<sup>1</sup> , Fataneh Bakhshi<sup>2\*</sup> , Asieh Ashouri<sup>3</sup> , Abolhasan Afkar<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Department of Health Education and Health Promotion, Health and Environment Research Center, Faculty of Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran.
- 2. Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran.
- 3. Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cardiovascular Diseases Research Center, Heshmat Hospital, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran.



Citation Mohammadi Khanqah M, Bakhshi F, Ashouri A, Afkar A. Social Support Theory for Predicting Stress, Anxiety, and Job Burnout of Healthcare Workers in the Post-pandemic COVID-19. Caspian Journal of Health Research. 2025; 10(1):37-46. https://doi.org/10.32598/CJHR.10.1.90.4

Running Title Social Support Theory and Post-pandemic Covid-19



#### **Article info:**

Received: 15 Nov 2024 Accepted: 13 Dec 2024 Published: 01 Jan 2025

# **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** The COVID-19 pandemic created substantial mental health challenges for healthcare workers, leading to elevated levels of stress, anxiety, and burnout. Social support is a critical factor in alleviating these psychological impacts, helping individuals to manage stress effectively.

Objectives: This study aimed to explore the relationship between perceived social support and levels of stress, anxiety, and burnout among healthcare workers in Ardabil, Iran, in the post-COVID-19 period of 2023.

Materials & Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 216 healthcare workers, selected via stratified random sampling based on job roles. Participants completed demographic questionnaires, the depression anxiety sress scale, the Maslach burnout inventory, and the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. data analysis included descriptive statistics and multiple linear regression models using SPSS software, version 22.

**Results:** The Mean±SD scores for stress and anxiety were 9.11±5.64 and 6.44±5.23, respectively, with a median burnout score of 38 (IQR: 26-50). The mean perceived social support score was 45.87±8.75. Multiple regression model including significant demographic and confounding variables along with perceived social support explained 25% of the variance in stress, 16% in anxiety, and 23% in burnout. The workplace environment was significantly associated with both perceived social support and mental health outcomes.

**Conclusion:** Perceived social support is inversely associated with stress, anxiety, and burnout among healthcare workers. Workers in metropolitan areas reported lower social support and higher psychological distress. Interventions to strengthen social support may benefit mental health and help reduce burnout among healthcare professionals.

## Keywords:

Stress, Anxiety, Burnout, Social support, Healthcare workers, COVID-19

#### \* Corresponding Author:

Fataneh Bakhshi, Assistant Professor.

Address: Department of Health Education and Promotion, Faculty of Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran. Tel: +98 (910) 4976646

E-mail: fa.bakhshi88@gmail.com



Copyright © 2024 Guilan University of Medical Sciences. Published by Guilan University of Medical Sciences
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).
Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.



# Introduction

he COVID-19 pandemic disrupted multiple aspects of daily life, significantly impacting mental health, as people contend with stress, anxiety, job insecurity, and various social restrictions [1]. In China, for example, Wang et al. (2020) reported that 53.8% of respondents perceived the psychological impact of COVID-19 as moderate to severe, while 16.5% experiencing depression and 28.8% feeling anxious [2]. Such psychological effects have been particularly acute among healthcare workers, who face not only general societal stresses but also heightened workplace demands and health risks [3].

Healthcare workers play an essential role in sustaining healthcare systems, particularly during health crises. The unprecedented demands of the COVID-19 pandemic have placed them under considerable psychological strain, manifesting as increased stress, anxiety, and burnout, with a consequential impact on their mental health and job satisfaction [4]. Research indicates clear associations between epidemic outbreaks and heightened psychological issues such as health anxiety, stress, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), with healthcare workers being especially vulnerable [5]. In a study conducted in China, Liu et al. found that most healthcare professionals experienced symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and agitation during infectious disease outbreaks, highlighting the severe mental toll of such crises [6].

Given the mental health challenges associated with pandemic-related stresses, theories of behavior change, such as the social support theory, can help identify factors that influence resilience and psychological wellbeing. Social support is often defined as the exchange of emotional, informational, and instrumental resources within a social network, providing individuals with a sense of belonging and security. According to Sarafino (2011), social support encompasses the affection, respect, and care a person receives from family, friends, and others, and is linked to reduced psychological distress [7]. Social support functions through two main mechanisms: The "buffering effect", which mitigates the psychological impact of stress, and the "direct effect model", which enhances health and well-being independently of stress levels [8]. Social support can potentially buffer against genetic and environmental susceptibilities, enhancing resilience to stress. This effect might be mediated through its influence on the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, the noradrenergic system, and central oxytocin pathways [9].

Social support is conceptualized along structural and functional dimensions. Structural support involves objective aspects, such as membership in communities or networks, while functional support focuses on the qualitative aspects of social interactions [10]. Evidence suggests that adequate social support can mitigate the adverse psychological effects of stressors, including those induced by the pandemic, thus improving mental health outcomes for those exposed to extreme stressors, such as healthcare workers [11].

Despite extensive research on the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a critical need to explore how specific factors, such as social support, can mitigate stress and burnout among healthcare workers, particularly in the context of understudied regions like Ardabil, Iran.

This study aims to identify predictors of workplace stress, anxiety, and burnout among healthcare workers at comprehensive health service centers in Ardabil, Iran, during the post-pandemic COVID-19 era. Using the framework of social support theory, this research examines how social support influence mental health and burnout among healthcare professionals in 2023.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study focused on healthcare workers employed in comprehensive health service centers in Ardabil County, Iran, who were actively engaged in health units during the COVID-19 pandemic. Assuming minimum correlation of 0.25 between social support and anxiety [12], 95% confidence level, power of 0.80, and a 10% non-response rate, the minimum required sample size was estimated at 136 participants. To ensure statistical power in regression analyses, the sample size was increased to 216, allowing for approximately 10 observations per independent variable. Stratified random sampling was used to select participants, based on job positions such as family health experts, midwives, nurses, supervisory nursing experts, liaison experts, laboratory experts, and nutritionists within the health centers in Ardabil County. Random numbers were generated using Excel, and healthcare workers were selected according to their job categories. Inclusion criteria were having at least six months of employment at a health center. Exclusion criteria included absence during the study period, incomplete survey responses, and self-reported mental illnesses.



# Data collection

Data were collected using validated self-report questionnaire during December and March 2022.

# Depression, anxiety, and stress scale (DASS-21)

The DASS-21 includes 21 items measuring depression, anxiety, and stress on a four-point Likert scale (0="not at all" to 3="extremely"). Each subscale's scores are multiplied by two for comparison with the DASS-42, with higher scores indicating greater levels of psychological distress. Reliability coefficients in general populations for DASS-21 are 0.87 for depression, 0.85 for anxiety, and 0.89 for stress, while clinical sample coefficients are similar [13, 14]. The DASS-21 has demonstrated concurrent validity with the Beck depression inventory (r=0.70), Zung anxiety scale (r=0.67), and a perceived stress scale (r=0.49) [15]. It should be noted that in the present study, assessment of stress and anxiety was of interest, and only these subscales of the instrument were used.

# Job burnout questionnaire

The job burnout questionnaire by Maslach and Jackson (1981) includes 22 items on a 7-point Likert scale, assessing emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and lack of personal accomplishment. Subscale ranges are 0-54 for emotional exhaustion, 0-30 for depersonalization, and 0-48 for personal accomplishment, with higher scores indicating greater burnout. Reliability coefficients are 0.90 for emotional exhaustion, 0.79 for depersonalization, and 0.79 for personal accomplishment, supporting its validity [16].

# Multidimensional scale of perceived social support (MSPSS)

The MSPSS is a short instrument designed to measure an individual's perception of support from 3 sources: Family, friends and a significant other [17]. This 12-item scale ranges from 12 to 60, with scores categorized as low (12-20), moderate (21-40), and high (>40) social support. The MSPSS has a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.89 for the total scale, with subscale reliabilities of 0.85, 0.91, and 0.89 for family, friends, and significant others, respectively, indicating strong internal consistency [18].

# Data analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software, version 22. Descriptive statistics were calculated, and data distribution was assessed using skewness, kurtosis, Q-Q plots, and normality tests. For normally distributed variables, independent samples t-tests, ANOVA, and Pearson correlation were applied; for non-normal variables, non-parametric tests such as Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis, and Spearman's rank correlation were used. Relationships between social support, stress, anxiety, and burnout were explored through Spearman's correlation and regression models.

Three multiple linear regression models were used to examine predictors of stress, anxiety, and burnout. The regression models were adjusted for demographic variables as well as for factors such as COVID-19 infection history, hospitalization, and loss of family member due to COVID-19. The entry of demographic variables into each regression model was conducted using the stepwise method, and only significant variables were included in the final models. Assumptions of multicollinearity, homogeneity of variances, absence of residual autocorrelation, and normality of residuals were verified. A significance level of 0.05 was set for all statistical tests.

# Results

# Demographic characteristics

The demographic characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1. Of the total participants, 77% were women and 23% were men. The majority were married (86.2%), with a mean age of 37±6.9 years (range: 22–58 years). Participants had a mean of 9 years of work experience (range: 1–32 years), and most held a bachelor's degree (65%). In terms of work location, 54% were employed in urban centers, 31% in rural centers, and 16% in county headquarters. A notable 72% of participants reported a history of COVID-19, 3.2% had been hospitalized due to the virus, and 7.3% reported the loss of a family member to COVID-19.

# Psychological and social support scores

As shown in Table 2, the mean stress score was 9.11±5.64, the mean anxiety score was 6.44±5.23, and the mean job burnout score was 39.3±19.02. The mean perceived social support score was 47, with scores ranging from 20 to 60. On average, stress, anxiety, and job burnout scores were slightly below the midpoints of



Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants (n=218)

Variables	No. (%)		
Gender	Female Male	168(77.1) 50(22.9)	
Marital status	Married Single	188(86.2) 30(13.8)	
Age (y)	Mean±SD (median) Minimum-maximum	37±6.9 (38) 22-58	
Work experience (y)	Mean±SD (median) Minimum-maximum	11.7±7.6 (9) (1-32)	
Education level	Diploma Associate degree Bachelor's degree Master's degree PhD and higher	27(12.4) 14(6.4) 143(65.6) 24(11) 10(4.6)	
Workplace	Urban health center Rural health center County health headquarter	117(53.7) 67(30.7) 34(15.6)	
History of COVID-19 infection	Yes No	156(71.6) 62(28.4)	
History of hospitalization due to COVID-19	Yes No	7(3.2) 211 (96.8)	
First and second-degree relatives lost due to COVID-19	Yes No	8(3.7) 210(96.3)	

Notes: Values are frequency (%) unless otherwise indicated.

their respective scales, while perceived social support scores were slightly above average.

# Correlations between social support, stress, anxiety, and burnout

Table 3 summarizes the correlation results, revealing a statistically significant moderate negative correlation between perceived social support and each psychological factor: Stress (r=-0.338, P<0.05), anxiety (r=-0.364, P<0.05), and job burnout (r=-0.364, P<0.05). Additional analyses indicated that stress levels among healthcare workers were significantly associated with gender (P<0.001), age (P=0.029), years of service (P=0.011), service location (urban or rural, P<0.001), history of COVID-19 infection (P=0.029), and family loss due to COVID-19 (P=0.042).

# Multiple regression analysis

Table 4 presents the findings of multiple linear regression analyses. After adjusting for confounding factors, perceived social support remained significantly and negatively associated with stress ( $\beta$ =-0.202; 95% CI, -0.279%, -0.125%), anxiety ( $\beta$ =-0.188; 95% CI, -0.262%, -0.114%), and job burnout ( $\beta$ =-0.904; 95% CI, -1.167%, -0.642%), all with P<0.001.

In terms of demographic variables, the results of the multiple regression analyses indicated that female employees (P=0.009), those with less work experience (P=0.041), individuals who served in urban health centers compared to those in rural health centers (P=0.021) or county health headquarters (P=0.079), individuals with a history of COVID-19 infection (P<0.001), and those who lost family members due to COVID-19 (P=0.053) experienced higher scores of stress. Additionally, regarding anxiety, employees in urban health centers reported higher anxiety scores than those working



Table 2. Description of study participants perceived social support, stress, anxiety, and burnout

Domain	Subscales	Median	Mean±SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	Mean (0 to 100)
	Stress	10	9.11±5.64	0.11	-0.93	43.4
	Anxiety	6	6.44±5.23	0.57	-0.44	30.6
	Emotional exhaustion	14	16.06±12.05	0.71	-0.21	29.7
D	Depersonalization	3	4.11±4.07	1.48	3.37	13.7
Burnout	Lack of personal accomplishment	19	19.12±7.5	0.04	0.47	39.8
	Total	38	39.3±19.02	0.39	-0.03	29.8
	Perceived social support	47	45.87±8.75	-0.85	0.70	70.6
Social support	Perceived social support from the family	16	16.04±3.12	-0.72	0.12	75.3
	Perceived social support from friends	15	14.27±3.49	-0.63	0.24	64.2
	Perceived social support from others	16	15.56±3.68	-0.11	1.10	72.2
						C <b>j</b> HR

in county health headquarters (P=0.013) or rural health centers (P<0.001). In terms of occupational burnout, employees with higher educational levels (P=0.036), as well as those serving in urban compared to those in county health headquarters (P<0.001) or rural health centers (P=0.086), reported higher scores of burnouts.

The coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) of the multiple regression models, which include significant demographic and confounding variables along with perceived social support accounted for 25% of the variance in stress, 16% in anxiety, and 23% in job burnout.

# Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has exerted profound stress on healthcare workers, manifesting as increased fatigue, burnout, and diminished mental well-being due to heavy workloads, prolonged hours, heightened infection risks, and sometimes inadequate protective resources. As essential figures in public health, it is vital to assess the psychological impacts of these challenges and devise targeted interventions to support their mental health, as these psychological factors are key determinants of job performance [19, 20].

Our study found that healthcare workers reported below-average stress, anxiety, and job burnout in the postpandemic period, in contrast to elevated levels reported in earlier pandemic-related studies [5, 11, 21, 22]. This reduction in stress and burnout may reflect the pandemic's waning impact on workload intensity and psychological strain as healthcare systems began to stabilize.

The presence of higher stress in female employees and employees with less work experience is consistent with other studies [23, 24].

The higher stress among female employees and employees with less work experience is consistent with oth-

Table 3. Spearman's correlation coefficient of perceived social support with stress, anxiety and burnout

Variables	Perceived Social Support		
	<b>Correlation Coefficients</b>	Р	
Stress	-0.338	<0.001	
Anxiety	-0.364	<0.001	
Burnout	-0.364	<0.001	





**Table 4.** Relation between perceived social support with workplace stress, anxiety, and burnout based on multiple linear regression analyses

Constant seived social support	B 14.935 -0.202	Standard Error 2.363	Coefficient (β)	6.319	P
eived social support				6 3 1 9	
• •	-0.202			0.515	<0.001
Gender (female)		-0.039	-0.314	-5.167	<0.001
	2.220	0.845	0.166	2.626	0.009
Work experience	-0.094	-0.046	-0.128	-2.055	0.041
Urban health center	1.841	1.044	0.163	1.764	0.079
Rural health center	-0.338	1.048	-0.028	-0.322	0.748
of COVID-19 infection	2.606	0.746	0.209	3.492	<0.001
spitalization due to COVID-19	-1.712	2.094	-0.054	-0.817	0.415
<u> </u>	3.731	1.918	0.125	1.945	0.053
Constant	13.011	2.056		6.328	<0.001
eived social support	-0.188	0.038	-0.315	-4.959	<0.001
Urban health center	2.380	0.947	0.228	2.515	0.013
Rural health center	-0.140	1.011	-0.012	-0.138	0.890
of COVID-19 infection	1.076	0.724	0.093	1.485	0.139
spitalization due to COVID-19	-0.171	2.019	-0.006	-0.085	0.933
	1.193	1.869	0.043	0.639	0.524
Constant	62.287	7.669		8.122	<0.001
eived social support	-0.904	0.134	-0.416	-6.748	<0.001
Education	2.805	1.328	0.136	2.111	0.036
Urban health center	11.665	3.299	0.307	3.536	<0.001
Rural health center	6.95	3.604	0.169	1.928	0.055
of COVID-19 infection	2.808	2.539	0.067	1.067	0.270
spitalization due to COVID-19	-0.032	7.049	0.001	-0.004	0.996
	-0.375	6.542	-0.004	-0.057	0.954
	Rural health center  of COVID-19 infection  spitalization due to COVID-19  first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19  Constant  deived social support  Urban health center  Rural health center  of COVID-19 infection  spitalization due to COVID-19  first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19  Constant  deived social support  Education  Urban health center	Work experience -0.094  Urban health center 1.841  Rural health center -0.338  of COVID-19 infection 2.606  spitalization due to COVID-19 -1.712  first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19  Constant 13.011  seived social support -0.188  Urban health center 2.380  Rural health center -0.140  of COVID-19 infection 1.076  spitalization due to COVID-19 -0.171  first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19  Constant 62.287  seived social support -0.904  Education 2.805  Urban health center 11.665  Rural health center 6.95  of COVID-19 infection 2.808  spitalization due to COVID-19 -0.032  first and second-degree relatives  of COVID-19 infection 2.808  spitalization due to COVID-19 -0.032  first and second-degree relatives  of Spitalization due to COVID-19 -0.032  first and second-degree relatives	Work experience       -0.094       -0.046         Urban health center       1.841       1.044         Rural health center       -0.338       1.048         V of COVID-19 infection       2.606       0.746         Spitalization due to COVID-19       -1.712       2.094         first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19       3.731       1.918         Constant       13.011       2.056         Velved social support       -0.188       0.038         Urban health center       -0.140       1.011         V of COVID-19 infection       1.076       0.724         Spitalization due to COVID-19       -0.171       2.019         first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19       1.193       1.869         Constant       62.287       7.669         reived social support       -0.904       0.134         Education       2.805       1.328         Urban health center       11.665       3.299         Rural health center       6.95       3.604         V of COVID-19 infection       2.808       2.539         Spitalization due to COVID-19       -0.032       7.049         first and second-degree relatives       -0.375       6.542 <td>Work experience         -0.094         -0.046         -0.128           Urban health center         1.841         1.044         0.163           Rural health center         -0.338         1.048         -0.028           of COVID-19 infection         2.606         0.746         0.209           spitalization due to COVID-19         -1.712         2.094         -0.054           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         3.731         1.918         0.125           Constant         13.011         2.056         -0.228           Revised social support         -0.188         0.038         -0.315           Urban health center         -0.140         1.011         -0.012           of COVID-19 infection         1.076         0.724         0.093           spitalization due to COVID-19         -0.171         2.019         -0.006           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         1.193         1.869         0.043           Constant         62.287         7.669         -0.006         -0.004         -0.134         -0.416           Education         2.805         1.328         0.136         -0.416         -0.001           Education         2.805         1.328         0.307</td> <td>Work experience         -0.094         -0.046         -0.128         -2.055           Urban health center         1.841         1.044         0.163         1.764           Rural health center         -0.338         1.048         -0.028         -0.322           V of COVID-19 infection         2.606         0.746         0.209         3.492           Spitalization due to COVID-19         -1.712         2.094         -0.054         -0.817           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         3.731         1.918         0.125         1.945           Constant         13.011         2.056         6.328         6.328           Leived social support         -0.188         0.038         -0.315         -4.959           Urban health center         -0.140         1.011         -0.012         -0.138           V of COVID-19 infection         1.076         0.724         0.093         1.485           Spitalization due to COVID-19         -0.171         2.019         -0.006         -0.085           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         1.193         1.869         0.043         0.639           Ceived social support         -0.904         0.134         -0.416         -6.748           <td< td=""></td<></td>	Work experience         -0.094         -0.046         -0.128           Urban health center         1.841         1.044         0.163           Rural health center         -0.338         1.048         -0.028           of COVID-19 infection         2.606         0.746         0.209           spitalization due to COVID-19         -1.712         2.094         -0.054           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         3.731         1.918         0.125           Constant         13.011         2.056         -0.228           Revised social support         -0.188         0.038         -0.315           Urban health center         -0.140         1.011         -0.012           of COVID-19 infection         1.076         0.724         0.093           spitalization due to COVID-19         -0.171         2.019         -0.006           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         1.193         1.869         0.043           Constant         62.287         7.669         -0.006         -0.004         -0.134         -0.416           Education         2.805         1.328         0.136         -0.416         -0.001           Education         2.805         1.328         0.307	Work experience         -0.094         -0.046         -0.128         -2.055           Urban health center         1.841         1.044         0.163         1.764           Rural health center         -0.338         1.048         -0.028         -0.322           V of COVID-19 infection         2.606         0.746         0.209         3.492           Spitalization due to COVID-19         -1.712         2.094         -0.054         -0.817           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         3.731         1.918         0.125         1.945           Constant         13.011         2.056         6.328         6.328           Leived social support         -0.188         0.038         -0.315         -4.959           Urban health center         -0.140         1.011         -0.012         -0.138           V of COVID-19 infection         1.076         0.724         0.093         1.485           Spitalization due to COVID-19         -0.171         2.019         -0.006         -0.085           first and second-degree relatives due to COVID-19         1.193         1.869         0.043         0.639           Ceived social support         -0.904         0.134         -0.416         -6.748 <td< td=""></td<>

<sup>\*</sup>The county health office level served as the reference category.

er studies. The results of Demir's study also showed that women in the 20-30 age group with less work exerience experience more stress in their workplace and, although social support can be a solution to reduce their stress lev-

Another finding of this study was that stress, anxiety, and burnout were higher in people who worked in urban

els, they also seek less social support [25].

healthcare centers. Working in urban centers is inherently stressful due to the limited number of staff responsible for providing healthcare. The increased demand for care during COVID-19 is a reason for this increased workload and the resulting stress [26, 27]. There seems to be high healthcare demands in the post-COVID era.



A significant finding of this study is the moderate inverse correlation between perceived social support and levels of stress, anxiety, and job burnout, with correlation coefficients of -0.338, -0.364, and -0.364, respectively. Notably, perceived social support accounted for 25% of the variance in stress levels, underscoring its protective effect. This finding aligns with studies like those of Sun et al. (2023), who found perceived social support to be a crucial buffer against stress among nursing educators [28], and Bapolisi et al. (2022), which reported similar effects among Congolese healthcare workers during the pandemic [29].

Additional studies also corroborate the role of social support in reducing work-related stress and enhancing resilience [30, 31]. For example, Moosavian (2019) documented a negative association between social support and secondary traumatic stress in nurses [32], while Rostaminia and Hejazizadeh (2022) highlighted the link between quality of life, social support, and reduced job stress [33]. These findings, along with ours, reinforce the importance of emotional support systems within health-care settings as protective factors against psychological distress.

In the context of COVID-19, social support has also been shown to moderate anxiety levels, as reported by Abdolahnezhad et al. (2021) [34]. Our results echo these findings, demonstrating that perceived social support explains 23% of the variance in job burnout. This emphasizes the essential role of social networks in buffering the adverse psychological effects of challenging work environments. Indeed, individuals with robust social connections reported lower stress, highlighting the potential of fostering workplace environments rich in social and emotional support.

## Implications for practice

The findings underscore the value of perceived social support in mitigating stress and burnout among health-care workers. Social support appears to bolster resilience and promote problem-solving coping strategies, reducing psychological distress [35]. The experience of the COVID-19 pandemic illustrates the need for healthcare organizations to re-evaluate and strengthen their support systems and management policies, ensuring healthcare workers' mental health needs are met, enabling them to maintain optimal care quality.

# **Conclusion**

The findings of this study highlight the enduring psychological challenges faced by healthcare workers in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic and underscore the critical role of social support in mitigating stress, anxiety, and burnout. Despite the decline in reported stress levels compared to earlier pandemic phases, the moderate inverse correlations between perceived social support and psychological distress emphasize the protective value of strong social networks. These results align with existing literature, affirming that social support is a pivotal factor in promoting resilience and mental well-being among healthcare professionals.

# Strengths and limitations

While much of the literature has focused on nurses, this study addressed a broader spectrum of healthcare workers, providing valuable insights into their mental health needs. However, the study has several limitations, including the use of convenient sampling methods, geographical constraints, and the self-reported nature of data, which may introduce biases and limit generalizability. Future research should aim to increase external validity by incorporating diverse populations across multiple regions and adopting randomized sampling approaches. Additionally, controlling for socio-economic factors could further enhance the findings.

# **Ethical Considerations**

# Compliance with ethical guidelines

This study was approved by the Scientific and Ethical Committees of Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran (Code: IR.GUMS.REC.1401.476).

# **Funding**

The present article was extracted from the master's thesis of Masoumeh Mohammadi Khanqah, approved by the Department of Health Education and Promotion, Faculty of Health, Guilan University of Medical Scienses, Rasht, Iran.

# Authors' contributions

All authors equally contributed to preparing this article.



# Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

# Acknowledgements

The authors extend their gratitude to all individuals and institutions contributing to the study.

### References

- [1] Sadeghi Yarandi M, Gholami A, Ghasemi M, Sadeghi Yarandi M, Ghasemi Koozekonan A, Soltanzadeh A. [Investigating the psychological consequences of the COVID-19 outbreak in the occupational society (Persian)]. J Mil Med. 2020; 22(6):562-9. [DOI:10.30491/JMM.22.6.562]
- [2] Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020; 17(5):1729. [DOI:10.3390/ijer-ph17051729] [PMID]
- [3] Wheaton MG, Abramowitz JS, Berman NC, Fabricant LE, Olatunji BO. Psychological predictors of anxiety in response to the H1N1 (swine flu) pandemic. Cogn Ther Res. 2012; 36:210-8. [DOI:10.1007/s10608-011-9353-3]
- [4] Roohafzaei S, AbediKooshki S, Gholami Moghadam A. [The role of job stress on the productivity of nurses working in the corona ward of Imam Khomeini Esfarayen hospital (Persian)]. Q J Nurs Manage. 2021; 10(2):1-10. [Link]
- [5] Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020; 395(10227):912-20. [DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8] [PMID]
- [6] Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. Psychiatry Res. 2020; 287:112921. [DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921] [PMID]
- [7] Sarafino EP. Applied behavior analysis: Principles and procedures in behavior modification. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons; 2011. [Link]
- [8] Locher JL, Ritchie CS, Roth DL, Baker PS, Bodner EV, Allman RM. Social isolation, support, and capital and nutritional risk in an older sample: Ethnic and gender differences. Soc Sci Med. 2005; 60(4):747-61. [DOI:10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.06.023] [PMID]
- [9] Ozbay F, Johnson DC, Dimoulas E, Morgan CA, Charney D, Southwick S. Social support and resilience to stress: From neurobiology to clinical practice. Psychiatry (Edgmont). 2007; 4(5):35-40. [PMID]

- [10] Riahi M E, Aliverdinia A, Pourhossein Z. [Relationship between social support and mental health (Persian)]. Soc Welf Q. 2011; 10(39):85-121. [Link]
- [11] Ashcroft R, Donnelly C, Lam S, Kourgiantakis T, Adamson K, Verilli D, et al. Qualitative examination of collaboration in team-based primary care during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMJ Open. 2023; 13(2):e067208. [DOI:10.1136/bm-jopen-2022-067208] [PMID]
- [12] Eyni S, Ebadi M, Hashemi Z. [Corona anxiety in nurses: The predictive role of perceived social support and sense of coherence (Persian)]. Iran J Psychiatry Clin Psychol. 2020; 26(3):320-31. [DOI:10.32598/ijpcp.26.3436.1]
- [13] Lovibond PF, Lovibond SH. The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. Behav Res Ther. 1995; 33(3):335-43. [DOI:10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-U] [PMID]
- [14] Najafi Kalyani M, Pourjam E, Jamshidi N, Karimi S, Najafi Kalyani V. [Survey of stress, anxiety, depression and self-concept of students of Fasa University of medical sciences, 2010 (Persian)]. J Fasa Univ Med Sci. 2013; 3(3):235-40. [Link]
- [15] Sahebi A, Asghari M, Salari S. Validation of the Stress Anxiety Depression Scale (DASS-21) for the Iranian population. Transformational Psychology: Iranian Psychologists. 2005; 1(4):36-54. [Link]
- [16] Grossi S, Khodaparast Z. [The relationship between functional social support and quality of life amongst married women after childbirth in Kerman city (Case: Women referred to health centers) (Persian)]. Iran J Soc Stud. 2014; 8(2):104-27. [Link]
- [17] Zimet GD, Powell SS, Farley GK, Werkman S, Berkoff KA. Psychometric characteristics of the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. J Pers Assess. 1990; 55(3-4):610-7. [DOI: 10.1080/00223891.1990.9674095] [PMID]
- [18] Wongpakaran T, Wongpakaran N, Ruktrakul R. Reliability and validity of the multidimensional scale of perceived social support (MSPSS): Thai version. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health. 2011; 7:161-6. [DOI:10.2174/1745017901107010 161] [PMID]
- [19] Ashoori J. [Prediction of nurse's job burnout based on social capital, perceived social support, and organizational citizenship behavior (Persian)]. Pajouhan Sci J. 2017; 15(2):13-9. [Link]
- [20] Khademian F, Delavari S, Koohjani Z, Khademian Z. An investigation of depression, anxiety, and stress and its relating factors during COVID-19 pandemic in Iran. BMC Public Health. 2021; 21(1):275. [DOI:10.1186/s12889-021-10329-3] [PMID]
- [21] Corlade-Andrei M, Măirean C, Nedelea P, Grigorasi G, Cimpoesu D. Burnout syndrome among staff at an emergency department during the COVID-19 pandemic. Healthcare (Basel). 2022; 10(2):258. [DOI:10.3390/healthcare10020258] [PMID]
- [22] Fazaeli S, Yousefi M, Jamali J, Ebrahimi Z, Hemati M. Evaluation of the relationship between occupational stress and hospital support among nurses caring for covid-19 patients: Comparison between nurses with experience of patient care in infectious and non-infectious wards. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2021; 23(5):e366. [Link]



- [23] Monnat SM. Rural-urban variation in COVID-19 experiences and impacts among US working-age adults. Ann Am Acad Polit Soc Sci. 2021; 698(1):111-36. [DOI:10.1177/00027162211069717]
- [24] Çelmeçe N, Menekay M. The effect of stress, anxiety and burnout levels of healthcare professionals caring for COV-ID-19 patients on their quality of life. Front Psychol. 2020; 11:597624. [Link]
- [25] Demir M. Stress experienced by female employees at workplace; symptoms, sources of stress, ways to deal with these sources of stress. Turk Online J Educ Technol. 2024; 23(3):73-82. [Link]
- [26] Kelly D, Schroeder S, Leighton K. Anxiety, depression, stress, burnout, and professional quality of life among the hospital workforce during a global health pandemic. J Rural Health. 2022; 38(4):795-804. [DOI:10.1111/jrh.12659] [PMID]
- [27] Ding Y, Qu J, Yu X, Wang S. The mediating effects of burnout on the relationship between anxiety symptoms and occupational stress among community healthcare workers in China: A cross-sectional study. Plos One. 2014; 9(9):e107130. [DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0107130] [PMID]
- [28] Sun X, Yin H, Liu C, Zhao F. Psychological capital and perceived supervisor social support as mediating roles between role stress and work engagement among Chinese clinical nursing teachers: A cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2023; 13(8):e073303. [DOI:10.1136/bmjopen-2023-073303] [PMID]
- [29] Bapolisi A, Maurage P, Rubambura RB, Tumaini HM, Baguma M, Cikomola C, et al. Psychopathological states among Congolese health workers during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic: Links with emotion regulation and social support. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2022; 13(2):2101346. [DOI:10.1080/200 08198.2022.2101346] [PMID]
- [30] Shi LS, Xu RH, Xia Y, Chen DX, Wang D. The impact of COVID-19-related work stress on the mental health of primary healthcare workers: the mediating effects of social support and resilience. Front Psychol. 2022; 12:800183. [DOI:10.3389/ fpsyg.2021.800183] [PMID]
- [31] Karadaş A, Duran S. The effect of social support on work stress in health workers during the pandemic: The mediation role of resilience. J Community Psychol. 2022; 50(3):1640-9. [DOI:10.1002/jcop.22742] [PMID]
- [32] Moosavian Khorasani SH, Vagharseyyein SA, Zarei B, Shafiee F. [Association of perceived social support with secondary traumatic stress and perceived stress in nurses (Persian)]. Sci J Nurs Midwifery Paramed Fac. 2019; 5(2):68-80. [Link]
- [33] Rostaminia M, Hejazizadeh G. Comparison of quality of life, job stress, and social support among employees of the ministry of health and medical education. islamic life style. 2022; 6(0): 208-20. [Link]
- [34] Abdolahnezhad F, Andam R, Rajabi M. [The impact of COVID 19 concerns on the mental health of athlete students with the modifying role of social support in a multi-group analysis by gender (Persian)]. Qom Univ Med Sci J. 2021; 15(3):198-209. [DOI:10.52547/qums.15.3.198]

[35] Marler EK, Bruce MJ, Abaoud A, Henrichsen C, Suksatan W, Homvisetvongsa S, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on university students' academic motivation, social connection, and psychological well-being. Scholarsh Teach Learn Psychol. 2024; 10(3):320-30. [DOI:10.1037/stl0000294]

